UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,308	09/09/2003	Charles J. Renz	460.2173USX	2142
	7590 03/07/200 . RUGGIERO, ESQ	EXAMINER		
OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIÈRO & PERLE, L.L.P. 10th FLOOR ONE LANDMARK SQUARE STAMFORD, CT 06901-2682			TRUONG, KEVIN THAO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
		·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Commencer	10/658,308	RENZ, CHARLES J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin T. Truong	3734			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 De	ecember 2006.				
,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 34,37-54 and 57-64 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 34, 37-54, and 57-64 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	s have been received				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	•	on No			
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
occ the attached detailed office assert of a second corporation					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Note: This is in response to an Amendment filed 12/08/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:


A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 34, 37-54, and 57-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Porthouse et al (Des. 130,791).

As to claims 34, 37-40, 43, 45-48, 52, 54, 57, 58, and 60-63, Porthouse et al discloses in figured 1 and 2, a tapered stem; an areola region connected to the proximal end of said stem; and a bulbous region being connected to said areola region, wherein said areola region is substantially concentrically aligned with said bulbous region when viewed in a top view and wherein said proximal end of said stem has opposing sides with inwardly concave shapes when view in a front view; an annular edge disposed between said areola region and said bulbous region; and further comprising a flange; and wherein said areola region and said proximal end of said stem are connected along an inwardly concave surface and wherein said inwardly concave surface (at 46) is also considered to be smooth. As to claims 41, 42, 44, 49, 51, 53, 59, and 64, wherein said areola and bulbous regions and stem as disclosed by Porthouse et al appears to have dimension as claimed, due to its being used on baby's mouth.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,308

Art Unit: 3734



Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/08/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to claims 34 and 57, the Examiner disagrees with Applicant's remarks that none of the figures as shown in the patent to Porthouse has any type of orifice on the stem and that the stem as disclose in Porthouse does not have an inwardly tapered toward its distal end. The type of nipple as disclose in Porthouse is clearly considered a orifice nipple and that the nipple of Porthouse is clearly having an inwardly tapered toward its distal end (as shown above drawing). With respect claims 41, 42, and 44, applicant argues that Porthouse does not show the nipple with any sort of dimension. However, stem as disclosed by Porthouse et al appears to have dimension as recited in the claims due to its being used on baby's mouth, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. The Examiner has

Application/Control Number: 10/658,308

Art Unit: 3734

maintained the ground of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Porthouse for the reason as set forth in the above statement.

Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin T. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-4705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on 571-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,308 Page 5

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin T. Truong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3734
